| 1 | SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | MARK L. KROTOSKI (CSBN 138549) Chief, Criminal Division | | | | |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | | S DISTRICT COURT FRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 12 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | | | |
| | DAIL TRAIL | DIVIDION | | | |
| 13 14 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) | CR No. 07-70173-JCS | | | |
| 15 | Plaintiff, | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER | | | |
| 16 |) v.) | EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING AND | | | |
| 17 | CHARKON CHANSAEM, | EXCLUDING TIME | | | |
| 18 | Defendant. | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | On March 23, 2007, based on a crimina | al complaint presented by Immigration and | | | |
| 21 | Customs Enforcement Special Agent Brian Kawabata, the Honorable Joseph C. Spero issued an | | | | |
| 22 | arrest warrant for the Defendant. On March 26, 2007, the Court held an Initial Appearance and | | | | |
| 23 | set the matter for further proceedings on March 29, 2007. On March 29, 2007, the parties | | | | |
| 24 | stipulated, and the Court ordered that the Preliminary Hearing should be scheduled for April 20, | | | | |
| 25 | 2007 and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from March 29, | | | | |
| 26 | 2007 to April 20, 2007. On April 12, 2007, the Hon. Joseph C. Spero signed an order to that | | | | |
| 27 | effect. On April 17, 2007, upon filing of a Joint Stipulation, the Hon. Edward M. Chen signed a | | | | |
| 28 | order continuing the Preliminary hearing from April 20, 2007 to May 9, 2007 and excluding such | | | | |
| | Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time - CR 03-07-70173-JCS | | | | |

time from the Speedy Trial Act calculations.

Counsel for the Government and Defendant are currently discussing a pre-indictment resolution of this case. Counsel for the Government has also learned that the case agent is scheduled to testify in an out of district trial the week of May 7, 2007 and will therefore be unavailable to for the rescheduled Preliminary Hearing on May 9, 2007. Moreover, defense counsel is still reviewing discovery that has been made available by the United States; is obtaining discovery from his client which requires the assistance of a Thai interpreter and travel to a remote facility; is facilitating communications with the Thai consulate on behalf of his client; will be out of the office for a training seminar during the final week of May; and does not believe it is in his client's best interest for the Court to hold a Preliminary Hearing within 10 days of the Initial Appearance as required by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c). The parties represent that granting of the continuance is necessary in so far as it would be impossible to hold the preliminary proceedings without the case agent; for effective preparation of counsel; and for continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(i)(iv).

Accordingly, the parties have agreed as follows:

- 1. The Preliminary Hearing shall be removed from the May 9, 2007 calendar and be continued until June 6, 2007.
- 2. The Defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant the requested continuance would make it impossible to proceed with the Preliminary Hearing insofar as the case agent would not be present to testify and would deny the Defendant effective preparation and continuity of counsel.
- 3. Given these circumstances, the parties agree and the Court should find that the ends of justice are served by excluding the period from May 9, 2007 through June 6, 2007 from the Speedy Trial Act calculation and outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Id. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

| | Case 3:07-cr-00425-PJH [| Document 10 | Filed 05/07/2007 | Page 3 of 3 | | |
|----------|---|---|---|-------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | DATED: May 3, 2007 | | /s/ | | | |
| 4 | | | ENISE MARIE BART ssistant United States A | | | |
| 5 | | | | • | | |
| 6 | DATED: May 3, 2007 | <u> </u> | /s/ FEVEN J. KOENING | <u>ED</u> | | |
| 7 8 | | STEVEN J. KOENINGER Attorney for CHARKON CHANSAEM | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | | | |
| 12 | Pursuant to the parties' Stipulation and for the reasons set forth above, the Preliminary | | | | | |
| 13 | Hearing shall be removed from the May 9, 2007 calendar and continued until June 6, 2007 and | | | | | |
| 14 | the time from May 9, 2007 to June 6, 2007 shall be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act | | | | | |
| 15 | calculations. | | | | | |
| 16 | | | TATES DISTRICT | | | |
| 17 | Mov 7, 2007 | | | | | |
| 18 | DATED: May 7, 2007 | | T IS SO ORDEREI | | | |
| 19 20 | I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | | | | | |
| 21 | Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | FIRN DISTRICT OF CENT | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| | II | | | | | |